



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/675,406 09/29/2000				
03/0/3,400	Benoit Vialle	24530.00600	9675	
49637 7590 02/24/20	6	EXAMINER		
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C	BAUTISTA,	BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L		
9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 810		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90069		2179		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/675,406		VIALLE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		X. L. Bautista		2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOR' WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO perio - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Odd for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wereply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, ho vill apply and will expir , cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠ Thi 3)□ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 De</u> is action is FINAL . 2b) This ace this application is in condition for alloward sed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-fince except for for	ormal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition	of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-20 and 22-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-20 and 22-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application	Papers							
10)⊠ The App Rep	e specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> is/and policant may not request that any objection to the collacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	re: a)⊠ accep drawing(s) be hel ion is required if t	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	ጋ-152)			

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-20 and 22-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Hawkins et al* (US 6,516,202 B1), *Nokia* (Nokia 6160 Owner's Manual, published September 1998) and *Silberfenig et al* (US 2001/0041590).

 Claims 1, 10 and 17:

Hawkins discloses a method and apparatus for an organizer (personal digital assistant) that may receive a cellular portion to form a cellular telephone. Hawkins teaches initiation of the call device in response to a call signal (col. 3, lines 35-45; col. 4, lines 35-36); displaying a selectable interface of the call device in response to the call signal (fig. 8A, 8B; 9B); the selectable interface is configured to

Art Unit: 2179

substantially emulate a dial pad of a handheld touch tone phone; receiving a dial signal from the selectable interface to initiate a phone call; and initiating the phone call in response to the dial signal (fig. 8A; col. 7, lines 12-60).

Hawkins does not teach that a phone call is placed to a last called phone number if digits are not received from the interface just before a dial signal is received in response to the user selecting a call initiation button. However, Nokia discloses a cellular telephone that automatically stores the numbers the user has dialed (p. 33-34, see: Dialed calls) and enables users to initiate a phone call by pressing an initiation button (Talk button). The phone call is placed to a last dialed (called) number (p. 41, see: Last number redial). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawkins's mobile computer to include a redial or call-previously-entered-phone-number features because it saves the user precious time (especially when the phone number that is being called is busy for a long time or when several continuous calls to the same number are necessary) by enabling the caller to just press the Talk button over and over again until the call gets through.

Hawkins/Nokia does not teach deactivating a microphone by selecting a mute button during a phone call and replacing the mute button (activating a speak button) with a speak button by selecting the mute button during the phone call.

However, Silberfenig discloses a cellular telephone having a mute button for

Art Unit: 2179

deactivating a microphone and replacing the mute button with a speaker when releasing the mute button to reestablish the microphone (page 3, pgs. 0028-0029, 0032; page 4, pgs. 0036, 0038). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hawkins/Nokia phone interface to include a mute button because the local user is allowed to participate in a private conversation or local conference during the telephone call without being heard by the remote user.

Claims 2, 3, 18 and 19:

See claim 1. Nokia's Talk button is a hard button (see cited paper illustrating the Nokia 6160).

Claims 4, 13, and 20:

Hawkins teaches suspending a current application when the application is other than the call device (col. 4, lines 28-36).

Claims 6 and 22:

Hawkins teaches searching the memory of the organizer for a name associated with a phone number (col. 7, lines 64-67; col. 8, lines 1-8).

Claims 7 and 23:

Hawkins teaches a timer configured to clock the duration of the connection with other phone device (col. 7, lines 28-31; col. 8, lines 40-46; col. 12, lines 44-46).

Claims 8 and 24:

Hawkins teaches receiving a save signal to save a phone number and initiating an address entry application in response to receiving the save signal (col. 5, lines 27-34; col. 6, lines 27-36, 64-67; col. 7, lines 1-6; col. 8, lines 47-52; col. 12, lines 4-5, 16-26).

Claims 9 and 25:

Hawkins teaches a screen that provides the options of hanging up (ending connection), (col. 7, lines 42-44).

Claim 11:

Hawkins teaches that phone calls are placed and received (send, answer, ignore calls) according to the user selections (col. 7, lines 43-60; fig. 8B).

Claims 12, 14, and 15:

Hawkins teaches a display device that is a touch-sensitive screen, having software-generated keys (col. 2, lines 47-54), that identifies a tap input from a user (fig. 8A-8B; col. 6, lines 61-67; col. 7, lines 1-7).

Claim 16:

Hawkins teaches a microphone connected to the organizer, which is configured to receive audio input from a user (col. 3, lines 23-28; col. 11, lines 38-48). The microphone may be deactivated when the user terminates a call by pressing the touch pad (for example by pressing the hang up icon).

Application/Control Number: 09/675,406 Page 6

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Guccione et al discloses a wireless telephone having a mute button to disconnect a local user microphone of a headset. Watterson et al discloses a communication device having a mute control button for private conversation screening during telephone calls. Wierzbicki et al discloses a mobile unit having a mute function for deactivating the microphone of the mobile unit and also eliminates, for the other party, wireless network noise associated with the wireless system.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Art Unit: 2179

Page 7

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to X. L. Bautista whose telephone number is (571)

272-4132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00AM-

6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.

273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

X. L. Bautista

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2179

xlb

February 15, 2006